

# CONTENTS

---

Preface  
Acknowledgments  
United States Constitution

## CHAPTER 1

---

### INTRODUCTION TO CRIMINAL PROCEDURE

- A. The Participants in the Criminal Justice System
  - 1. Defendants
  - 2. Defense Counsel
  - 3. Prosecutors
  - 4. Victims
  - 5. Police and Other Law Enforcement Officers
  - 6. Magistrates and Judges
  - 7. Jurors
  - 8. Corrections Officials
  - 9. Public
  - 10. Media
- B. Stages of the Criminal Justice Process
  - Step 1: Pre-Arrest Investigation
  - Step 2: Arrest
  - Step 3: Filing the Complaint
  - Step 4: *Gerstein* Review
  - Step 5: First Appearance/Arraignment on Complaint
  - Step 6: Grand Jury or Preliminary Hearing
  - Step 7: Arraignment on Indictment or Information
  - Step 8: Discovery
  - Step 9: Pretrial Motions
  - Step 10: Plea Bargaining and Guilty Pleas
  - Step 11: Trial
  - Step 12: Sentencing
  - Step 13: Appeals and Habeas Corpus
- C. The Purpose of Procedural Rules
  - Powell v. Alabama*
  - Patterson, Plaintiff v. Former Chicago*
  - Police Lt. Jon Burge*
- D. Key Provisions of the Bill of Rights

- E. The Application of the Bill of Rights to the States
  - 1. The Provisions of the Bill of Rights and the Idea of “Incorporation”
  - 2. The Debate over Incorporation
  - 3. The Current Law as to What’s Incorporated  
*Duncan v. Louisiana*
  - 4. The Content of Incorporated Rights
- F. Retroactivity

## CHAPTER 2

---

### SEARCHES AND SEIZURES

- A. Introduction
- B. What Is a Search?
  - Katz v. United States*
  - 1. Open Fields  
*Oliver v. United States*  
*United States v. Dunn*
  - 2. Aerial Searches  
*California v. Ciraolo*  
*Florida v. Riley*
  - 3. Thermal Imaging of Homes  
*Kyllo v. United States*
  - 4. Searches of Trash  
*California v. Greenwood*
  - 5. Observation and Monitoring of Public Behavior  
*United States v. Knotts*  
*United States v. Karo*  
*Smith v. Maryland*
  - 6. Use of Dogs to Sniff for Contraband  
*Illinois v. Caballes*
- C. The Requirement for Probable Cause
  - 1. What Is Sufficient Belief to Meet the Standard for Probable Cause?  
*Illinois v. Gates*  
*Maryland v. Pringle*
  - 2. Is It an Objective or a Subjective Standard?  
*Whren v. United States*
- D. The Warrant Requirement
  - 1. What Information Must Be Included in the Application for a Warrant?
  - 2. What Form Must the Warrant Take?  
*Andresen v. Maryland*  
*Groh v. Ramirez*
  - 3. What Are the Requirements in Executing Warrants?
    - a. How May Police Treat Those Who Are Present When a Warrant Is Being Executed?  
*Muehler v. Mena*

- b. Do Police Have to Knock and Announce before Searching a Dwelling?
  - Wilson v. Arkansas*
  - Richards v. Wisconsin*
- c. What If There Are Unforeseen Circumstances or Mistakes While Executing a Warrant?
  - Maryland v. Garrison*
  - Los Angeles County, California v. Rettele*
- E. Exceptions to the Warrant Requirement
  - 1. Searches Incident to Arrest
    - Chimel v. California*
    - Knowles v. Iowa*
  - 2. Searches Made in Hot Pursuit
    - Warden, Md. Penitentiary v. Hayden*
    - Payton v. New York*
  - 3. Plain View
    - Coolidge v. New Hampshire*
    - Horton v. California*
    - Minnesota v. Dickerson*
  - 4. The Automobile Exception
    - a. The Exception and Its Rationale
      - California v. Carney*
    - b. Searches of Containers in Automobiles
      - California v. Acevedo*
    - c. Searches Incident to Arrest
      - New York v. Belton*
      - Thornton v. United States*
  - 5. Inventory Searches
    - South Dakota v. Opperman*
    - Illinois v. Lafayette*
  - 6. Border Crossing and Checkpoints
    - United States v. Flores-Montano*
    - United States v. Ramsey*
    - United States v. Montoya-Hernandez*
  - 7. Checkpoints
    - Michigan Dept. of State Police v. Sitz*
    - City of Indianapolis v. Edmond*
  - 8. Consent
    - Schneckloth v. Bustamonte*
    - Georgia v. Randolph*
  - 9. Searches of Those on Probation and Parole
    - United States v. Knights*
    - Samson v. California*
  - 10. Searches When There Are “Special Needs”
    - a. Administrative Searches
      - Camara v. Municipal Court of City and County of San Francisco*
      - New York v. Burger*
    - b. Drug Testing
      - Vernonia School Dist. 47J v. Acton*

- Board of Education of Independent School District  
No. 92 of Pottawatomie County v. Earls  
Ferguson v. City of Charleston*
11. Exigent Circumstances  
*Welsh v. Wisconsin  
Brigham City, Utah v. Stuart*
- F. Seizures and Arrests
1. Is a Warrant Needed for Arrests?  
*United States v. Watson*
2. When Is a Person Seized?  
*United States v. Mendenhall  
California v. Hodari D.*
3. For What Crimes May a Person Be Arrested?  
*Atwater v. City of Lago Vista*
- G. Stop and Frisk
1. The Authority for Police to Stop and Frisk  
*Terry v. Ohio*
2. The Distinction Between Stops and Arrests
3. What May Police Do When They Stop  
an Individual?  
*Hübel v. Sixth Judicial Dist. Court of Nevada*
4. What Is Sufficient for Reasonable Suspicion?
- a. Reasonable Suspicion for Stopping Cars  
*United States v. Arvizu*
- b. Reasonable Suspicion Based on Informants' Tips  
*Alabama v. White  
Florida v. J.L.*
- c. Reasonable Suspicion on a Person's Trying to Avoid a  
Police Officer  
*Illinois v. Wardlow*
- d. Reasonable Suspicions Based on Profiles  
*United States v. Sokolow*
- H. Electronic Surveillance
1. Is Electronic Eavesdropping a Search?
2. Statutory Requirements
3. Warrantless Eavesdropping  
*United States v. United States District Court for the  
Eastern District of Michigan*

## CHAPTER 3

---

### THE EXCLUSIONARY RULE

- A. Is the Exclusionary Rule a Desirable Remedy for Unconstitutional  
Police Behavior?  
*Hudson v. Michigan*
- B. The Origins of the Exclusionary Rule  
*Weeks v. United States  
Mapp v. Ohio*

- C. Who Can Object to the Introduction of Evidence and Raise the Exclusionary Rule?
  - Rakas v. Illinois*
  - Minnesota v. Carter*
  - Brendlin v. California*
- D. Exceptions to the Exclusionary Rule
  - 1. Independent Source
    - Murray v. United States*
  - 2. Inevitable Discovery
    - Nix v. Williams*
  - 3. Inadequate Causal Connection — Attenuation of the Taint
    - Brown v. Illinois*
  - 4. The Good Faith Exception to the Exclusionary Rule
    - United States v. Leon*
  - 5. The Exception for Violations of the Requirement for “Knocking and Announcing”
- E. Suppression Hearings

## CHAPTER 4

---

### POLICE INTERROGATION AND THE PRIVILEGE AGAINST SELF-INCRIMINATION

- A. Due Process and the Requirement for Voluntariness
  - 1. The Requirement for Voluntariness
    - Brown v. Mississippi*
  - 2. Determining Whether a Confession Is Voluntary
    - The Use of Force and Threats of Force
      - Arizona v. Fulminate*
    - Psychological Pressure Tactics
      - Spano v. New York*
    - Deception
      - The Age, Level of Education, and Mental Condition of a Suspect
        - Colorado v. Connelly*
  - 3. Is the Voluntariness Test Desirable?
  - 4. Coercive Questioning, Torture, and the War on Terrorism
- B. Fifth Amendment Limits on In-Custodial Interrogation:
  - Miranda v. Arizona*
  - 1. *Miranda v. Arizona* and Its Affirmation by the Supreme Court
    - Miranda v. Arizona*
    - Dickerson v. United States*
  - 2. Is *Miranda* Desirable?
  - 3. What Are the Requirements for *Miranda* to Apply?
    - a. When Is a Person “In Custody”?
      - Oregon v. Mathiason*
      - Yarborough v. Alvarado*
      - Berkemer v. McCarty*

- b. What Is an “Interrogation”?
        - Rhode Island v. Innis*
        - Illinois v. Perkins*
      - c. What Is Required of the Police?
        - California v. Prysock*
        - Duckworth v. Eagan*
    - 4. What Are the Consequences of a Violation of *Miranda*?
      - Oregon v. Elstad*
      - Missouri v. Seibert*
      - United States v. Patane*
    - 5. What Are the Exceptions to *Miranda*?
      - a. Impeachment
        - Harris v. New York*
      - b. Emergencies
        - New York v. Quarles*
      - c. Booking Exception
      - d. Waiver
        - What Is Sufficient to Constitute a Waiver?
          - North Carolina v. Butler*
        - How Is a Waiver after the Assertion of Rights Treated?
          - Michigan v. Mosley*
          - Edwards v. Arizona*
          - Minnick v. Mississippi*
          - Davis v. United States*
- C. The Sixth Amendment Right to Counsel and Police Interrogations
  - 1. The Sixth Amendment Right to Counsel During Interrogations
    - Massiah v. United States*
    - Brewer v. Williams*
  - 2. The Sixth Amendment Right to Counsel Is Offense Specific
    - Texas v. Cobb*
  - 3. Waivers
    - Michigan v. Jackson*
  - 4. What Is Impermissible Police Eliciting of Statements?
    - United States v. Henry*
    - Kuhlmann v. Wilson*
- D. The Privilege against Self-Incrimination in Other Contexts
  - 1. What Are the Requirements for the Privilege against Self-Incrimination to Apply?
    - a. Only Individuals May Invoke the Privilege
    - b. The Privilege Applies Only to That Which Is Testimonial
      - Schmerber v. California*
    - c. There Must Be Compulsion
    - d. There Must Be the Possibility of Incrimination
  - 2. When May the Government Require the Production of Documents and Other Things?
    - Fisher v. United States*

3. May the Government Require Testimony If It Provides Immunity?
  - Kastigar v. United States*
  - United States v. Hubbell*

---

## CHAPTER 5

### IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURES

- A. The Right to Counsel
  1. The Right to Counsel in Lineups
    - United States v. Wade*
  2. Limits on the Right to Counsel in Identification Procedures
    - Illinois v. Kirby*
    - United States v. Ash*
- B. Due Process Protection for Identification Procedures
  1. Unnecessarily Suggestive Identification Procedures Violate Due Process
    - Foster v. California*
  2. Limits on the Ability of Courts to Find That Identification Procedures Violate Due Process
    - Simmons v. United States*
    - Neil v. Biggers*
    - Manson v. Brathwaite*

---

## CHAPTER 6

### INITIATING PROSECUTION

- A. The Charging Decision
  - Inmate Convicted of Indecent Exposure
  - Mandatory 55-Year Sentence “Extreme”?
  - Inmates of Attica Correctional Facility v. Rockefeller*
- B. Limits on Prosecutorial Discretion
  1. Statutory and Administrative Limits
  2. Ethical Limits
    - Lawyer Disbarred over Lacrosse Rape Case
  3. Constitutional Limits
    - a. Selective or Discriminatory Enforcement
      - Wayte v. United States*
      - United States v. Armstrong*
    - b. Vindictive Prosecution
      - Blackledge v. Perry*
- C. Formal Charging Mechanisms
  1. The Grand Jury
    - a. Operation of the Grand Jury

- b. Screening Function of Grand Jury
      - Costello v. United States*
      - United States v. Williams*
    - c. Grand Jury Reform
  - 2. Preliminary Hearing
- D. Severance and Joinder
  - 1. Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure 8 and 14
  - 2. Irreconcilable Conflicts and *Bruton* Problems
    - a. Conflicting Defenses
      - Zafiro v. United States*
    - b. *Bruton* Problems
      - Bruton v. United States*
      - Richardson v. Marsh*
      - Gray v. Maryland*
- E. Amendments and Variances

## CHAPTER 7

---

### BAIL AND PRETRIAL RELEASE

- A. Introduction
- B. Preventative Detention
  - 1. Pretrial Detention
    - United States v. Salerno*
  - 2. Other Types of Preventative Detention
    - a. Detention of Material Witnesses
      - United States v. Osama Awadallah*
    - b. Preventative Detention of Sexual Predators
      - Kansas v. Hendricks*
    - c. Preventative Detention for Immigration Detainees
    - d. Enemy Combatants

## CHAPTER 8

---

### DISCOVERY

- A. Introduction
- B. Statutory and Rule Discovery: A Two-Way Street
  - Williams v. Florida*
- C. Constitutional Discovery: A One-Way Street
  - Brady v. Maryland*
  - Gigilo v. United States*
  - United States v. Bagley*
  - Kyles v. Whitley*
  - Banks v. Dretke*
- D. Guilty Pleas

- E. Duty to Preserve Evidence  
*Arizona v. Youngblood*
- F. Final Note

---

## CHAPTER 9

---

### PLEA BARGAINING AND GUILTY PLEAS

- A. Introduction
- B. Plea Bargaining
  - 1. History of Plea Bargaining
  - 2. The Pros and Cons of Plea Bargaining
    - a. Support for Plea Bargaining
    - b. Criticisms of Plea Bargaining
    - c. Evaluating a Plea Bargain
  - 3. Bans on Plea Bargaining
  - 4. The Legality of Plea Bargaining  
*Brady v. United States*
- C. Guilty Pleas  
*Boykin v. Alabama*  
*Henderson v. Morgan*  
*Hill v. Lockhart*
- D. Rule 11 and the Procedural Requirements for Entering Guilty Pleas
- E. Remedies for Violations of Plea Agreements  
*Santobello v. New York*  
*Ricketts v. Adamson*
- F. Withdrawal of Guilty Pleas

---

## CHAPTER 10

---

### SPEEDY TRIAL RIGHTS

- A. Introduction
- B. Why Speedy Trial Rights Matter  
Right to a Speedy Trial? Justice Delayed  
Judge Dismisses Molestation Case—Again
  - 1. Impact on the Defendant
  - 2. Impact on the Prosecution and Witnesses
  - 3. Impact on the Public
- C. Due Process and Speedy Trial Rights
  - 1. Pre-Charging Delay, Due Process Rights, and Statutes of Limitations  
*United States v. Marion*  
Federal Statutes of Limitation  
*United States v. Lovasco*

2. Post-Charging Delay and Speedy Trial Rights
  - a. Statutory Protections
  - b. Constitutional Protection
    - Barker v. Wingo*
    - Doggett v. United States*
  - c. Other Speedy Trial Rules and Laws
- D. Remedies for Speedy Trial Violations

---

## CHAPTER 11

### RIGHT TO COUNSEL

- A. Introduction
  1. When the Right to Counsel Applies
    - Argersinger v. Hamlin*
  2. Appointment of Counsel
    - Gideon v. Wainwright*
  3. Standard for “Effective Assistance” of Counsel
    - Strickland v. Washington*
    - Florida v. Nixon*
  4. Right of Self-Representation
    - Faretta v. California*
  5. Right of Counsel for Enemy Combatants

---

## CHAPTER 12

### TRIAL

- A. Trial by Jury
  1. Role of the Jury
    - Duncan v. Louisiana*
  2. When Is There a Right to a Jury Trial?
  3. Composition of the Jury
    - a. Number of Jurors
      - Williams v. Florida*
      - Ballew v. Georgia*
    - b. Unanimity
      - Apodaca v. Oregon*
- B. Jury Composition and Selection
  1. Selecting the Jury Venire
    - Taylor v. Louisiana*
  2. Selecting the Petit Jury
    - Batson v. Kentucky*
  3. Applying *Batson*
    - a. Standing to Raise *Batson* Challenges
    - b. *Batson* Challenges in Civil Cases

- c. Discriminatory Use of Peremptory Challenges by the Defense
    - d. *Batson* Challenges to Other Types of Discrimination
    - e. The Mechanics of Bringing *Batson* Challenges
- C. Pretrial Publicity and the Right to a Fair Trial
  - 1. When Does Pretrial Publicity Prejudice a Defendant's Right to a Fair Trial?
    - Irwin v. Dowd*
  - 2. Remedies for Prejudicial Pretrial Publicity
    - a. Closure of Courtrooms
    - b. Other Remedies
      - Sheppard v. Maxwell*
    - c. Ethical Limitations on Lawyers' Extrajudicial Comments
      - Gentile v. State Bar of Nevada*
      - United States v. Cutler*
    - d. Prior Restraints
      - Nebraska Press Assn. v. Stuart*
  - 3. Cameras in the Courtroom
    - Chandler v. Florida*
- D. Trial Rights: Due Process, Right of Confrontation, and Privilege Against Self-Incrimination
  - 1. Right of Confrontation
    - a. Right to Be Present at Trial
      - Illinois v. Allen*
      - Deck v. Missouri*
    - b. Right to Confront Witnesses
      - Maryland v. Craig*
      - Crawford v. Washington*
  - 2. Privilege Against Self-Incrimination
    - Griffin v. California*
- E. Role of the Jury and Proof Beyond a Reasonable Doubt
  - In re Winship*

## CHAPTER 13

---

### SENTENCING

- A. Introduction
- B. Indeterminate Versus Determinate Sentencing
  - 1. Indeterminate Sentencing
  - 2. Determinate Sentencing
    - Mandatory Minimum Sentences
  - 3. *Apprendi* and Its Progeny
    - Apprendi v. New Jersey*
    - Blakely v. Washington*
    - United States v. Booker*
- C. Eighth Amendment: When Does a Sentence Constitute Cruel and Unusual Punishment?

1. Determining When a Sentence Is Proportional  
*Solem v. Helm*
  2. Proportionality and Three Strikes Laws  
*Ewing v. California*
  3. Excessive Fines and Forfeitures  
*United States v. Bajakajian*
- D. The Death Penalty
1. Is the Death Penalty Unconstitutional?  
*Furman v. Georgia*
  2. Standards for Constitutional Implementation of the Death Penalty
  3. Recent Limits on the Scope of the Death Penalty
    - a. Prohibition of the Death Penalty for Mentally Retarded Defendants  
*Atkins v. Virginia*
    - b. Prohibition of the Death Penalty for Crimes Committed by Minors  
*Roper v. Simmons*
    - c. Method of Execution  
*Harbison v. Little*

## CHAPTER 14

---

### DOUBLE JEOPARDY

- United States v. Scott*
- A. The Basics
    1. What Is a Criminal Offense?  
*Hudson v. United States*
    2. What Is the “Same Offense”?  
*Blockburger v. United States*
    3. When Does Jeopardy Attach?
  - B. No Retrial Following Conviction or Acquittal
    1. No Retrial After Acquittal  
*Burks v. United States*
    2. No Retrial After Conviction
  - C. Exceptions to the Double Jeopardy Rule
    1. Retrial After Mistrials
      - a. Retrial After Mistrial for Hung Jury  
*United States v. Sanford*
      - b. Retrials After Other Mistrials  
*United States v. Dinitz*  
*Oregon v. Kennedy*
    2. Dual Sovereignty  
*Barthkus v. Illinois*
  - D. Multiple Charges and Cumulative Punishments  
*Rutledge v. United States*
  - E. Collateral Estoppel  
*Ashe v. Swenson*

**CHAPTER 15****HABEAS CORPUS**

- A. Introduction
- B. The Issues that Must Be Addressed in Order for a Federal Court to Grant Habeas Corpus Relief
  - 1. Is the Petition Time Barred?
  - 2. Is It a First or a Successive Habeas Corpus Petition?  
*Tyler v. Cain*
  - 3. Has There Been Exhaustion of All of the Claims Raised in the Habeas Petition?  
*Rose v. Lundy*
  - 4. Does the Petition Rely on Existing Rules or Seek Recognition of a New Rule of Constitutional Law?  
*Teague v. Lane*
  - 5. Is It an Issue that Can Be Raised on Habeas Corpus?  
*Stone v. Powell*
  - 6. Has There Been a Procedural Default and If So, Is There Either Cause and Prejudice or an Adequate Showing of Actual Innocence?  
*Wainwright v. Sykes*  
*Herrera v. Collins*  
*House v. Bell*
  - 7. May the Federal Court Grant the Habeas Corpus Petition?  
*Williams v. Taylor*
- C. Statutes and Rules Governing Habeas Corpus
- D. Habeas Corpus and the War on Terrorism  
*Rasul v. Bush*

**Table of Cases****Index**

